

Simon Hickey  
Po Box 1059 Slacks Creek 4127  
[Smerff@fastmail.com](mailto:Smerff@fastmail.com)  
18 march 2021

To chief magistrate Gardiner,

I wrote to you some time ago with concerns about the way my legal matter was heard in Brisbane Magistrate Court. I got the reply and it was not at all satisfactory. I will paste some of the original text here to refresh your memory before I continue.

*The reason that I am writing, is to draw your attention to serious misconduct by one of the Magistrates at your court. I believe she intended to fix the trial against the defendant in two ways.*

*First by assuming jurisdiction of Queensland courts, despite the fact that the offence occurred in New South Wales. The law is clear in this regard, no element, event, or any part of the offence happened in Queensland, and as such, only New South Wales courts have jurisdiction.*

*The second verifiable and even more serious perversion of justice occurred when Magistrate Coates refused to accept the documents I had tendered to the court, to prove facts in the case. The documents were genuine invoices, receipts and emails from a company located outside Queensland, who were involved in the maintenance and repair of my company's website.*

*Further to that, invoices satisfy every criteria of admissibility under **section 93** of the evidence act. They were prepared by someone during the course of their business. That someone had direct knowledge of the matter at hand, and the same someone is located outside Queensland. I was also prepared to give evidence as to the origins of this material.*

I also stated in the beginning of that letter that **I was not writing to ask you to interfere or change the decision**. I was specifically asking for answers about the handling of my trial.

I note in your reply that you said you couldn't interfere or change a decision...

(after I specifically said that was not the reason for writing to you. Chief magistrates don't obtain that position by being stupid or not comprehending clear material, you knew what was written. So why attempt to confuse the issue? Why pretend that's a proper answer?)

You also said 'That *after listening to the recording of the way my matter was conducted you were satisfied with the outcome*'. With intentionally vague language used in the last section.

You failed to address any of the concerns or questions that I raised, so I will ask them directly again.

I understand that you are the chief magistrate and I am just a lowly taxpayer, but these are relevant questions about a matter where it **is your responsibility to maintain professional standards**. I expect a direct answer.

**The law clearly states that my evidence should have been allowed. Why wasn't it?**

If there is a legal response to this ie: magistrates don't have to allow evidence from Y source for X reason, then where can I find that in the legislation?

I wish to verify that what she did was lawful.

**If there is no such section of law, then what is being done to rectify my matter?**

Are magistrates permitted to make the law up as they go? Why does Ms Coates think the laws of Queensland do not apply in her court?

In your last response you simply said I should seek legal advice. I am quite capable of reading the legislation myself, that's why it is written in English.

It used to be the case that allegedly 'learned' people had to translate the laws for us lowly taxpayers, and that we had no way to verify what was actually written in them books. In those days you could get away with a different translation for every customer, but that is no longer the case. I have read the laws, and I was raped in this court.

**I am entitled to an answer.**

I will attach the previous letter in its entirety so the questions are clear. There were a few on there, but I will be happy with just an answer to the one in bold above.

Thank you

Regards

**Simon Hickey**

2023 EDIT : OF COURSE THERE WAS NO REPLY. GARDINER RETIRED AND THERE IS NO MENTION OF HIM BEING A CORRUPT BASTARD IN THE STATE RECORDS.