

-----BAIL HEARING RICHLANDS-----

-----MAGISTRATES COURT LATE JANUARY 2018-----

6 April 2018

Simon Hickey pays \$600 odd dollars for the transcript of a court hearing, and to everyone's surprise it leaves out the best parts. In the transcript we received the prosecutor did not speak a single word. Even when we disputed this as edited, and applied for the audio - the audio recording they sent us started after the prosecutions bullshit ended and she said 'Can we bring in the defendant'. That's it.

My question is - If the prosecution can accuse a man of something in court : how can they do so without speaking? Did they deliver their prosecution in mime form? Was Marcel Marceau at court that day?

Magistrate AP Simpson holds a court hearing so crazy, so ridiculous that they refuse to allow anybody to hear the recording? My question to the 'honourable' AP Simpson is : How can you call this court legitimate if you must hide the record of proceedings? Would it embarrass you? QLD courts? The prosecutor, or all three?

You know if this recording ever comes out your career is over.

After receiving the doctored transcript the following email exchange took place :

AUSCRIPT :

Thank you for using Auscript.

Please find attached a copy of the requested transcript.

This transcript has been delivered to the emails provided by the ordering party.

Please note the following:

- When using this transcript in Court, refer only to the provided PDF transcript to ensure page and line numbering remains consistent.
- If a Progressive transcript has been requested, only the end of day transcript will be provided in PDF.
- The Microsoft Word version of this transcript is saved as a Microsoft Word 97-2003 file.

If you have any queries regarding this transcript please contact Client Services on 1800 287 274 (1800 AUSCRIPT) or email clientservices@auscript.com.au.

Kind regards,

Document Merger Team
Auscript Australasia Pty Limited
T: +61 1800 287 274
F: +61 1300 739 037
W: www.auscript.com.au

Please consider the environment before printing this email

8 April 2018

Hi,
what a surprise... that transcript was incomplete I want the rest

You know, the part you left out where the prosecutor told the court I was a white supremacist, nazi, that I sponsored a website which posted an article which referred to one Heather Heyer as a fat, childless, 32 year old, slut, and all sorts of other rubbish that was intended only to prejudice the judge. She said all these things with zero evidence, zero witnesses, and zero relevance to the proceedings at hand. Even more disturbing was the lack of challenge from any court staff as to how or why a prosecutor would ramble on about such utter nonsense in an alleged 'court of law'

Why would you omit that part I wonder? I want what the prosecution read to the court prior to the beginning of what you transcribed. I paid for it. Why would Auscript omit the parts that expose Queensland law courts as nothing more than a kangaroo court farce where the proceedings are hidden from the public lest they find out what sort of scumbags run the system and what sort of scumbags cover for them by hiding and editing transcripts

I was there I heard it. I paid for the complete transcript not half of it. Please deliver what I paid for, and am legally entitled to.

Thank you, regards

12 April 2018

Good afternoon Mr Hickey

Hope you are well.

Our Quality Team is seeking further assistance from yourself so that we can look into this further for you. Please most kindly confirm if:

- a) you recalls which page of the transcript the comments would have been made around, so that we can sound check as quickly as possible for you,
or
- b) you believe we have omitted content before the 10.13 commencement, between the 10.32 and 10.50 adjournment, or after the decision concluded at 10.58.

Many thanks and kind regards,

12 April 2018

Hi Angela

I don't know what is so difficult about ' transcribe the words that were spoken during a recorded event from start to finish without omission or alteration'

That's what Auscript is paid to do I would assume? Why do you need me to direct you to the parts you left out? Send me the audio. I paid enough, I will find it on that. It was there I heard it said amongst a whole heap of straight out falsehoods from the prosecution.

I have made two jpgs. One I am not sure in what order these sections were read to the court so its only a guess, but the second illustration shows the lying magistrate and incompetent defence lawyer discussing those exact comments which were left off this transcript.. How could they be discussing ***** unless it was brought up or spoken by someone?

More to the point how is it these parts don't make it onto your transcript?

No rational person can consider any court hearing legitimate if they must hide the proceedings, and recordings from independent scrutiny.

The bits you left out. When will I have them?

16 April 2018

Just seeing how you are going with the transcript?

If you are unable to find them missing parts, please send me the complete audio start to finish of my 'hearing' and I will find it. I was there I heard what was said. That will save you the trouble.

18 April 2018

Good morning *****

Hope you are well.

Our Quality Team has advised that they have noted that you have advised that an omission occurred on page 1-2 around line 35, and page 1-3 around line 41. They have now compared the transcript to the audio from the commencement of the matter until the end of page 1-4.

They note that your notes in the provided screenshots that you were in court at page 1-2 line 35 and heard the prosecutor make this comment. However, you were not in court at this time - you did not enter the room until around line 41 which was over two minutes later - there was an extended silence in court before and after line 41 as the Magistrate was reading the documents tendered by the prosecutor. At that time you were in custody and was being brought up from the watch house at the time - you were addressed by the Magistrate when you could be heard to enter the court at line 41..

We should also note this is the second time Quality has sound checked page 1-2 and found no omitted content at this time, as we did a cursory review of the audio at the time of your original complaint.

It is likely that the white supremacist group referenced on page 1-3 is actually a reference to the written bail application tendered by the prosecutor at page 1-2 line 32, and was not discussed out loud at all.

Regarding your concerns regarding the difficulties we are having locating additional audio, the reason we ask for specific information such as which page number or time of day is so that we can organise the most efficient soundcheck of the audio.

For instance, soundchecking 3-4 pages can take around 20 minutes, however soundchecking in detail a full day in court can take 7-9 labour hours or more, and would require you to pay an additional fee for the service. We, of course would like to avoid that additional cost for yourself, and also not waste any more of your time than is necessary by providing the required check of only what you deem is necessary on the shortest turnaround possible.

We hope the above information regarding events in court that day, as well as our explanation as to why Auscript sometimes need a little more detail, assists you.

If you disputes our findings, may we recommend you order a copy of the full audio for the hearing of this matter, which you can then compare to the provided transcript.

AS OF THAT EMAIL HICKEY ORDERED THE COMPLETE AUDIO OF PROCEEDINGS AND PAID ANOTHER \$300 . THE CD ARRIVED WEEKS LATER AND THE AUDIO STARTS AFTER THE PROSECUTOR HAS FINISHED SPEAKING. LITERALLY HER WHOLE DIATRIBE IS LEFT OUT. PROVING THE POINT

24 April 2018

(After receiving an audio CD which started half way through the hearing)

Hi

right i have received the CD you provided and listened to the content. However I was already present in the room when the woman prosecutor asked that I brought up. I heard the last few statements she made to the court opposing my bail. Why was this section left off the audio?

Why was the audio you sent me started only after the prosecution woman had finished speaking? Was that

'can we have Mr Hickey brought up...' Is that some sort of verbal signal that any audio or transcript provided to the defendant later can start now. I believe it is.

I was there prior to that and I heard what was said.

I want the audio starting at the conclusion of the case before mine, unbroken up until I get there or until the prosecution makes that statement.

There needs not to be included any information about the previous matter you can start it when the lawyers are saying may I be excused or whatever. I was in the room and heard the previous matter anyway I know what it was.

The rest of the audio I want it. I paid for it. When will it be delivered?

Thank you, regards

30 April 2018

Good morning *****

Thank you for your email.

The audio CD for the matter of ***** Magistrate Simpson M heard in the Magistrates Court Richlands C1 has been delivered to you.

As for the quality and content of the audio, our Quality Team has advised that multiple audio checks have been performed especially on this particular piece of content with regards to bail.

The team has advised that there is not further audio content for you to receive

All has been provided in full to you.

Many thanks and kind regards,

3 May 2018

So just to be clear you expect us to believe the sequence of events in the court room that day went like this :

- I am bought up from illegal detention in the watch house.
- The defence lawyer speaks on my behalf
- **The magistrate makes his decision**
- **The prosecutor hasn't spoken at all.**
- The recording you have given me contains only this. Any retard can see it's incomplete.

Why would you leave out everything the prosecutor said to the court? I want the complete audio. The court hearing didn't just start half way through. The prosecutor made statements in opposition to my bail to the magistrate. I was there. I heard it all. You have given me recording of the audio, but started it after all the shit this woman was speaking. This filthy lying prosecutor said things in that courtroom that had no justification, no connection with reality and were not even close to the truth. She lied knowingly. She lied deliberately and she committed a criminal offence when she did so.

You are aiding and abetting that criminal offence by covering it up and preventing me accessing the audio of my own hearing. For the second time.

For the fourth and last time. When can I have the complete audio of my bail hearing at Richlands magistrates court in January this year? I paid for it. It's mine.

When will I have it?

Thank you, regards

I did send some follow up emails but all were ignored.

AUTHORS NOTE :

They told me this is the complete Audio. How could it be complete if the prosecutor hasn't spoken?

How many court room events have you seen where the prosecution doesn't even speak?

That's right. None.

Yet that's what they would have you believe happened here.

They could prove me wrong at any time by simply releasing the audio recording of the court start to finish. Why haven't they?

I posted this material online and challenged them to sue me knowing that truth is the only defence I need, and that if they did sue, I could force them to hand over the entire recording.

Instead the Queensland Government started a six year long campaign of harassment, designed to prevent me going public. When I refused to back down they jailed me for nine months because I deprived the public of confidence in the court system